

# Projection Microfabrication of Three-Dimensional Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering

**Li-Hsin Han**

Department of Mechanical Engineering,  
The University of Texas at Austin,  
Austin, TX 78712

**Gazell Mapili**

Department of Biomedical Engineering,  
The University of Texas at Austin,  
Austin, TX 78712

**Shaochen Chen**

Department of Mechanical Engineering,  
The University of Texas at Austin,  
Austin, TX 78712

**Krishnendu Roy**

Department of Biomedical Engineering,  
The University of Texas at Austin,  
Austin, TX 78712

*This article presents a micromanufacturing method for direct projection printing of three-dimensional scaffolds for applications in the field of tissue engineering by using a digital micromirror-array device (DMD) in a layer-by-layer process. Multilayered scaffolds are microfabricated using curable materials through an ultraviolet (UV) photopolymerization process. The prepatterned UV light is projected onto the photocurable polymer solution by creating the "photomask" design with a graphic software. Poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate is mixed with a small amount of dye (0.3 wt %) to enhance the fabrication resolution of the scaffold. The DMD fabrication system is equipped with a purging mechanism to prevent the accumulation of oligomer, which could interfere with the feature resolution of previously polymerized layers. The surfaces of the pre-designed multilayered scaffold are covalently conjugated with fibronectin for efficient cellular attachment. Our results show that murine marrow-derived progenitor cells successfully attached to fibronectin-modified scaffolds. [DOI: 10.1115/1.2823079]*

## Introduction

The development of free-form fabrication technology [1–10] has become a promising tool for the manufacturing of biological scaffolds for tissue regeneration and stem cell engineering [10–13]. Free-form fabrication is a very promising technology due to the efficient and simple process for creating microstructures. Predesigned, complex and 3D constructs in the micro- and nanometer scale can be created using polymer materials without the use of lithographical masks or mechanical molds.

Free-form fabrication equipment based on a digital micromirror device (DMD) [1,2] is an efficient tool for building structures with high resolution in large quantity. A structure made by DMD projection printing (DMD-PP) system has a resolution comparable to the size of biological cells, i.e., micrometers. The DMD-PP system creates an entire layer under one simultaneous exposure, thereby eliminating the 2D raster scanning style of stereolithography. Feature resolution and speed for micromanufacturing make the DMD-PP system a promising technology for fabricating tissue engineering scaffolds.

Using a DMD system, a layer-by-layer scaffold is formed on a servo stage placed in a vat of photocurable monomer. The micromirror array from the DMD chip forms a UV light pattern, created by a graphic software, to fabricate a single layer of a 3D scaffold. The light pattern or "photomask" is projected by optical lens onto the monomer bath to simultaneously cure the monomer under a single exposure. After one layer of monomer is selectively cured, the stage moves downward, as a new layer of monomer is formed above the previously cured layer. The cross-section pattern can be changed in between scaffold layers, and the process is repeated until the entire scaffold is formed.

Some problems are encountered when using a DMD system to build a structure consisting of multiple layers. Unwanted light scattering by previously formed microstructures polymerize the monomer within the previous layers, causing a loss in feature resolution during fabrication processes. In addition to light scattering, the curing depth of the UV light might be overdeep such that previous layers are affected by the current photomask being

displayed. Lowering the UV intensity can reduce the curing depth but also degrade the feature of the exposure layer. These problems became limiting factors to the fabrication in our previous study [1].

Scattering and penetration problems can be resolved by mixing UV dyes into photocurable monomers prior to irradiation. The function of the UV dye can be understood through Beer's law [14]. Assuming that a monomer has an absorption constant  $\alpha$  and a UV light intensity  $I_0$ , the UV intensity becomes  $I$  at depth  $z$  to give the following:


$$I = I_0 \exp(-\alpha z) \quad (1)$$

Figure 1(a) shows the exponential decay of light intensity as  $z$  increases.  $I_{\text{cure}}$  is a threshold intensity at which the monomer starts to cure. CD is the curing depth,  $I(\text{CD}) = I_{\text{cure}}$ . When the UV dye is added,  $\alpha$  becomes larger ( $\alpha'$ ) and CD becomes smaller ( $\text{CD}'$ ); the addition of the UV dye to the monomer increases the absorption constant  $\alpha$  and decreases the curing depth, and the structural resolution is therefore enhanced. Figures 1(b) and 1(c) show the patterned polymerization of honeycomb-shaped structures with and without dye addition. In the absence of UV dye (Fig. 1(b)), the monomer within the pores was partially cured by undesired scattering, and the structure had decreased resolution. With a small amount of dye in the same monomer solution (Fig. 1(c)), the structure is polymerized with increased resolution.

Another challenge encountered with the DMD system is the accumulation of oligomers within previously polymerized layers of the multilayered construct. These oligomers are partially cured monomers, weakly cross-linked by unwanted scattered and penetrating UV light. To overcome this problem, it is necessary to purge the construct with a new monomer solution between scaffold layers during the fabrication process.

We built multilayered 3D constructs to be used for cellular behavior studies and for tissue engineering applications using the DMD-based fabrication system. The monomer that forms the scaffold was loaded with a small amount of UV absorbing dye to enhance the structural resolution. Our fabrication equipment also includes a simple purging system to inject a fresh monomer solution in between layer irradiation. Upon fabrication, scaffolds were biologically modified for cell attachment. We show the intricate architecture of the 3D scaffold and also demonstrate efficient cell attachment within the porous tissue engineering construct.

Contributed by the Manufacturing Engineering Division of ASME for publication in the JOURNAL OF MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING. Manuscript received May 30, 2007; final manuscript received November 8, 2007; published online March 7, 2008. Review conducted by Dong-Woo Cho.




**Fig. 1** The curing of monomer with and without the addition of the UV dye. (A) Theoretical model: The curing depth  $CD$  decreases when the absorption constant is increased after the addition of dye. (B) Photopatterning of PEGDA on a glass slide. The pores of a honeycomb structure are sealed by unwanted curing caused by scattered light. (C) Patterning the same monomer with the addition of UV dye Tinuvin 234 at a concentration of 0.2 wt %. The geometry of the honeycomb structure had increased feature resolution.


### Micromirror Device Projection Printing System

This scaffold fabrication system (Fig. 2(a)) consists of a vat containing the polymer, a servo stage (CMA-25-CCCL and ESP300, Newport) that supports the construct, a syringe pump, a DMD system (Discovery 1100, Texas Instruments), a UV lamp (200 W, S2000, EXFO), and a fixed-focal lens (Edmond Optics).

The syringe pump is created by connecting a 3 ml disposable syringe barrel to the servo stage and is used to inject a fresh photocurable monomer solution to an outlet located on the stage. The pump is automatically operated and is used in between layer fabrication. The DMD chip is composed of an array of micromirrors that project the patterned photomask onto the photocurable



**Fig. 2** The schematics of the DMD fabrication system showing the fabrication scheme (A)–(C) and the patterns of the scaffold cross section (inset)



**Fig. 3** Scanning electron micrographs in (A)–(C) depict a multilayered scaffold with interconnective, hexagonal-shaped porosity. An extracellular matrix secreted by attached D1 cells is visible in (C). D1 cells were stained with a fluorescent tracer dye prior to seeding onto fibronectin-modified scaffolds. (D) shows a reconstructed 3D image from fluorescence confocal microscopy, indicating that cells attached efficiently to the microfabricated scaffold.

monomer. These micromirrors are illuminated by the UV light from the lamp using an 8 mm light guide, and the fixed-focal lens focuses and projects the images onto the translational stage. The moving stage, syringe pump, DMD system, and the UV lamp are connected to a personal computer, and the DMD-based system is controlled through a driver interface supplied by Texas Instruments.

### Scaffold Fabrication

**Preparation of the Photocurable Monomer.** Perfluorohexane (99.5%) and poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) (Mn 258) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Methacrylic acid (MAA) from Sigma-Aldrich was distilled before being used to remove inhibitors. The photoinitiator, Irgacure 2959, and UV dye, Tinuvin 234, were provided by Ciba Chemistry; both chemicals were used without further purification.

To prepare the photocurable monomer solution, 10 wt % of Irgacure 2959 and 0.2 wt % of Tinuvin 234 were added into a 4:1 (volume ratio) mixture of PEGDA and MAA. The monomer solution was sonicated for 30 min and degassed for 15 min.

**Pre-designed Scaffold Fabrication.** The polymer vat was filled with perfluorohexane ( $C_6F_{14}$ ), an inert chemical with high density ( $1.685 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ) and a molecular polarity significantly lower than the photocurable monomer. Because of these properties, the photocurable monomer forms a layer on top of perfluorohexane

within the polymer vat. The majority of the stage is immersed in perfluorohexane. A key advantage of using an inert, heavy liquid-like perfluorohexane is that only a small amount of a photocurable monomer solution is necessary during fabrication as the perfluorohexane acts as a “filler” material for the bottom region of the stage. The syringe pump was also filled with perfluorohexane to be used as the purging agent. The focal plane of the DMD-PP was determined, and the stage was set accordingly. The height of the polymer vat was adjusted to allow a thin layer of the photocurable monomer to form on the outlet of the syringe pump. A UV photomask from the DMD system selectively solidifies the monomer into a thin layer, creating the first layer of the structure. The shape of the outlet was properly designed, such that the scaffold layers completely cover the outlet.

The power of the UV image was determined to be approximately  $5 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ , and UV exposure time was set for 60 s. The UV light wavelength used was 355 nm. After the first layer is built (Fig. 2(b)), the stage moves the structure below the perfluorohexane/monomer interface, and the syringe pumps perfluorohexane through the microstructure on the outlet. The perfluorohexane solution pushes the uncured material (which includes oligomers) back to the monomer layer. After the purging step, the stage moves up and locates the top of the structure slightly higher than the perfluorohexane/monomer interface. The syringe aspirates monomer at a volume equal to the amount purged by perfluorohexane, and the structure is filled with fresh monomer. Following this step, the stage moves  $50 \mu\text{m}$  below the

position where the previous layer was created (Fig. 2(c)), and the next layer is then created. The patterned polymerization and purging cycles are repeated until the entire predesigned scaffold is built.

As shown in the inset of Fig. 2, we used three different cross-sectional images (1–3) to pattern the scaffold with hexagonal-shaped porosity. The images were used in a sequence: 1-1-1-2-1-1-1-3-1-1-1-2-1-1-1-3-1. The layered structure of the scaffold includes four honeycomb layers, neighboring honeycomb layers are spaced by three rectangular rims, and the space between two honeycomb layers was measured to be 150  $\mu\text{m}$ .

By using UV dye Tinuvin 234, we successfully adjusted the curing depth of the monomer to about 50  $\mu\text{m}$ . There is little scattering interference between the new layer and the previously formed layer. Under a bright field microscope, we found that the geometry of each of the honeycomb layers was clearly defined, and the pores of the honeycomb structures were free from unwanted polymerization or oligomer accumulation.

**Surface Modification of the Preformed Scaffold With Fibronectin.** After the scaffold was fabricated, tethered carboxyl groups from the MAA (premixed in the monomer) was activated using 1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl] carbodi-imide hydrochloride/*N*-hydroxysulfosuccinimide chemistry (EDC/sulfo-NHS) (Pierce Technologies, Rockford, IL). EDC is a commonly used cross-linker in bioconjugation chemistries to create amide bonds. Carboxyl groups are converted to amine-reactive sulfo-NHS esters by EDC with the presence of sulfo-NHS. 0.4M EDC and 0.4M sulfo-NHS in 0.1M MES buffer [(2-(*N*-morpholino) ethane sulfonic acid), pH 6.5] were added to the scaffolds at a total volume of 1.5 ml and incubated on a rotator for 2 h at room temperature. Using a low-affinity microcentrifuge tube, a 1.5 mL solution of fibronectin (10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) was then added to the scaffolds upon carboxyl activation, and incubated at room temperature for a 24 h period. Scaffolds were then sterilized using 70% ethanol for 30 min prior to cell seeding, and rinsed several times with phosphate buffered saline to remove unconjugated fibronectin and ethanol. As previously reported [1], fibronectin conjugation efficiency was determined to be 48.9  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2$  ( $\pm 0.03 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2$ ) via subtractive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

**Murine Marrow-Derived Progenitor Cells.** A bone marrow progenitor cell line derived from mice, D1 ORL UVA (ATCC, Manassas, VA), was seeded onto the fibronectin-modified scaffolds created by the DMD-based system. Prior to seeding the cells onto the scaffolds, an amine-reactive fluorescent dye, CellTrace™ Far Red DDAO-SE (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was used to stain the cells red, following the manufacturer's protocol. Scaffolds were placed on sterilized parafilm within a tissue culture plate and then suspended in a primary medium that contained  $2.5 \times 10^5$  D1 cells. The suspended cell solution forms a "ball" on top of the scaffold, thereby localizing the cells onto the fibronectin-modified scaffold, due to the hydrophobic surface of the parafilm. After a 4 h seeding period, the rest of the primary medium was added to the tissue culture plate. A primary medium used to culture D1 was composed of 10% w/v fetal bovine serum (ATCC) and 1% w/v penicillin streptomycin in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (ATCC). The cell-scaffold construct was placed in a humidified incubator (5%  $\text{CO}_2$ , 37°C) and kept in culture for a 48 h period before fixing in a 10% formalin solution. Scaffolds were then prepared for scanning electron and fluorescence confocal microscopic analyses.

Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) (Figs. 3(a)–3(c)) and fluorescence (Fig. 3(d)) micrographs show that D1 cells attach and secrete extracellular matrix onto the surfaces of the fibronectin-modified scaffold. Fibronectin is a highly characterized protein

known to bind to cell integrins, causing cell anchorage onto surfaces bound to this particular protein. Figure 3(d) is a three-dimensional compiled fluorescence micrograph of attached cells created from individual images obtained through confocal microscopy. The scaffold depicted here is composed of four layers with a wall thickness of 50  $\mu\text{m}$  and a hexagonal pore geometry of approximately 150  $\mu\text{m}$ .

## Conclusion

A porous, multilayered, and 3D scaffold for studies in cellular behavior was successfully created by patterning a photocurable monomer using a DMD-based fabrication tool. The monomer includes a small amount of absorbing dye (Tinuvin 234, 0.2 wt %), which enhances the geometric resolution of the scaffold and reduces the curing depth of the monomer. The fabrication tool also includes a perfluorohexane-based purging mechanism to remove oligomers within the pores of the curing structure. The surfaces of the 3D scaffolds were biochemically modified with fibronectin for efficient cellular attachment. Data from SEMs and confocal fluorescence micrographs confirm the successful seeding, attachment, and proliferation of D1 cells onto these predesigned, microfabricated porous structures.

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported by a Young Investigator Award from the Office of Naval Research and a grant (CMMI 0600104) from the National Science Foundation to S.C. We appreciate the donation of the DMD tool kit from Texas Instruments. We also thank the computer support from Intel's High Education Program.

## References

- [1] Lu, Y., Mapiili, G., Suhali, G., Chen, S. C., and Roy, K., 2006, "A Digital Micro-Mirror Device (DMD)-Based System for the Microfabrication of Complex, Spatially Patterned Tissue Engineering Scaffolds," *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, **77A**(2), pp. 396–405.
- [2] Sun, C., Fang, N., Wu, D. M., and Zhang, X., 2005, "Projection Micro-Stereolithography Using Digital Micro-Mirror Dynamic Mask," *Sens. Actuators, A*, **A121**, pp. 113–120.
- [3] Zhang, X., Jiang, X. N., and Sun, C., 1999, "Micro-Stereolithography of Polymeric and Ceramic Microstructures," *Sens. Actuators, A*, **A77**, pp. 149–156.
- [4] Maruo, S., and Ikuta, K., 1998, "New Microstereolithography (Super-IH Process) to Create 3D Freely Movable Micromechanism Without Sacrificial Layer Technique," *Proceedings of the 1998 International Symposium on Micro-mechanics and Human Science*, pp. 115–120.
- [5] Belfield, K. D., Yao, S., Morales, A. R., Hales, J. M., Hagan, D. J., Van Stryland, E. W., Chapel, V. M., and Percino, J., 2005, "Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Rigid Two-Photon Absorbing Polymers," *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, **16**, pp. 150–155.
- [6] Nguyen, L. H., Straub, M., and Gu, M., 2005, "Acrylate-Based Photopolymer for Two-Photon Microfabrication and Photonic Applications," *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **15**(2), pp. 209–216.
- [7] Straub, M., Nguyen, L. H., Fazlic, A., and Gu, M., 2004, "Complex-Shaped Three-Dimensional Microstructures and Photonic Crystals Generated in a Polysiloxane Polymer by Two-Photon Microstereolithography," *Opt. Mater. (Amsterdam, Neth.)*, **27**, pp. 359–364.
- [8] Vozi, G., Flaim, C., Ahluwalia, A., and Bhatia, S., 2003, "Fabrication of PLGA Scaffolds Using Soft Lithography and Microsyringe Deposition," *Biomaterials*, **24**, pp. 2533–2540.
- [9] Itoga, K., Yamato, M., Kobayashi, J., Kikuchi, A., and Okano, T., 2004, "Cell Micropatterning Using Photopolymerization With a Liquid Crystal Device Commercial Projector," *Biomaterials*, **25**, pp. 2047–2053.
- [10] Sachlos, E., and Czernuszka, J. T., 2003, "Making Tissue Engineering Scaffolds Work. Review: The Application of Solid Freeform Fabrication Technology to the Production of Tissue Engineering Scaffolds," *Eur. Cells Mater.*, **5**, pp. 29–40.
- [11] Griffith, L. G., 2002, "Emerging Design Principles in Biomaterials and Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering," *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, **961**, pp. 83–95.
- [12] Orban, J. M., Marra, K. G., and Hollinger, J. O., 2002, "Composition Options for Tissue-Engineered Bone," *Tissue Eng.*, **8**, pp. 529–539.
- [13] Sharma, B., and Elisseeff, J. H., 2004, "Engineering Structurally Organized Cartilage and Bone Tissues," *Ann. Biomed. Eng.*, **32**, pp. 148–159.
- [14] Brown, B., Foote, C., and Iverson, B., 2005, *Organic Chemistry*, 4th ed., Thomson Learning Inc., Belmont, CA, p. 794.